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ABSTRACT
A dominating set D of a jump graph J(G) is a non split dominating set of a jump graph if the induced sub graph < E(J(G)) - D > is connected. The non split domination √ns J(G) is minimum cardinality of a non-split dominating set. In this paper many bound of √ns J(G) are obtained and its exact values of some standard graphs are found. Also its relationship with other parameters are investigated.
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1. INTRODUCTION
The graph considered here are finite, undirected, non trivial and connected without loops and multiple edges.

A set D ⊆ V(J(G)) is a dominating set of jump graph J(G) if the induced sub graph <D> is connected. The minimum cardinality of the connected dominating set in J(G) is its dominating number denoted by √(J(G)).

A dominating set D of a jump graph J(G) = ( v(J(G) , E(JG) ) is a split dominating set if the induced sub graph V(J(G)) - D disconnected. The split dominating number √s J(G) of J(G) is the minimum cardinality of a split dominating set.

The reader is referred to [1] [2] [3] and [4] for survey or results on domination.

Any undefined terms in this paper may be found in Harary[5] unless stated, the graph has p vertices and q edges.

The purpose of this paper is to introduce the concept of Non split domination.

A dominating set D of a graph J(G) is a non split dominating set. If the induced sub graph v(J(G)) - D is connected. The non split domination number √ns J(G) of J(G) is the minimum cardinality of a non split dominating set.

We call a set of vertices on √s-set if it is a dominating set with cardinality √(J(G)) similar a √c-set, a √c-set and a √ns-set are defined.

2. RESULTS
We start with some elementary results. Since their proofs are trivial we omit the same.

Theorem 2.1: For any graph G

√v(J(G)) ≤ √s(J(G))

Theorem 2.2: For any graph G

√v(J(G)) = min { √v(J(G)) , √s(J(G)) }

In [3] Cockayne and Hedetniemi gave necessary and sufficient conditions for a minimal dominating set.

Theorem A: A dominating set D of a graph G is minimal if and only if for each vertex v ∈ D one of the following condition is satisfy

(i) if there exists a vertex u ∈ V - D such that N[u] ∩ D = {v} and

(ii) v is an isolated vertex in < D >

Theorem 2.3: A non split dominating set D of J(G) is minimal if and only if for each vertex v in D one of the following conditions is satisfied.

(i) If there exists a vertex u ∈ V(J(G)) - D such that N[u] ∩ D = {v}.

(ii) v is an isolated vertex in < D > and

(iii) N(v) ∩ ( V(J(G)) - D ) = ∅

Proof: Suppose D is minimal. On the contrary, if there exists a vertex v ∈ D such that v does not satisfy any of the given conditions then by Theorem A D ∩ {v} is a dominating set of J(G) and by (iii) ( v(J(G)) - D ) is connected. This implies that D is a non split dominating set of J(G), a contradiction. This proves the necessity sufficiency is straight forward.

Next we observe a relationship between √ns J(G)) and √s(J(H)) where J(H) is any spanning sub graph of J(G) we omit the proof.

Theorem 2.4. For any spanning sub graph J(H) of J(G)

√ns(J(G)) ≤ √s(J(H))

We obtain lower and upper bounds on √ns(J(G)) respectively.

Theorem 2.5 For any graph J(G)

√ns(J(G)) ≥ \frac{2p - q + 1}{2}

Proof: Let D be a √ns(J(G))-set of J(G) Since

( v(J(G)) - D ) is connected.

q ≥ | V (J(G)) - D | + | V(J(G)) - D | - 1

This proves the result.

Theorem 2.6. For any graph G

√ns(J(G)) ≤ p - W(J(G)) is the Clique number of G.

Proof: Let S be a set of vertices of J(G) such that < S > is complete with |S| = W(j(g)) Then for any

u ∈ S ( V(J(G)) - S ) ∪ {u} is a non split dominating set of G.

Then the result holds.
Now we list the exact values of $\sqrt{\nu_c(J(G))}$ for some standard graphs.

**Proposition 2.7.**

(i) For any complete graph $K_p$ with $p \geq 2$ vertices $\sqrt{\nu_m(K_p)} = 1$.

(ii) For any complete bipartite graph $K_{m,n}$ with $2 \leq m \leq n$ $\sqrt{\nu_m(K_{m,n})} = 2$.

(iii) For any cycle $C_p$ $\sqrt{\nu_m(C_p)} = p - 2$.

(iv) For any wheel $W_p$ $\sqrt{\nu_m(W_p)} = 1$.

(v) For any path $P_p$ with $p \geq 3$ vertices $\sqrt{\nu_m(P_p)} = p - 2$.

(vi) Our next result sharpens the inequality of Theorem 2.6 for trees.

**Theorem 2.8.** If $T$ is a Tree which is not a star, then $\sqrt{\nu_m(J(T))} \leq p - 2$.

**Proof:** Since $t$ is not a star, there exists two adjacent cut vertices $u$ and $v$ with $d(u, v) \geq 2$. This implies that $\nu_m(J(T)) = |V(T)| - 1$ and $\nu_m(J(T)) - 1$ is not a split dominating set of $J(T)$.

Thus the result holds.

**Theorem 2.9.** If $k(J(G)) \geq \beta_0(J(G))$ then $\sqrt{\nu_m(J(G))} = \nu_m(J(G))$ where $k(J(G))$ is the connectivity of jump graph $J(G)$ and $\beta_0(J(G))$ is the independence number of $J(G)$.

**Proof:** Let $D$ be a $\sqrt{\nu_m}$-set of jump graph $J(G)$. Since $k(J(G)) \geq \beta_0(J(G)) \geq \nu_m(J(G))$. It implies that $\nu_m(J(G)) - D$ is connected. This proves that $D$ is a $\sqrt{\nu_m}$-set of $J(G)$.

Hence the result.

**Theorem 2.10.** Let $D$ be a $\sqrt{\nu_m}$-set of a connected graph $G$ if no two vertices in $V(J(G)) - D$ are adjacent to a common vertex in $D$ then $\nu_m(J(G)) + \nu_m(J(T)) \geq |V(G)| - D|$. This proved that $\nu_m(J(T)) \geq |V(G)| - D|$.

Hence the result holds.

**Theorem 2.11.** If $\delta(J(G)) + \omega(J(G)) \geq p + 1$ then $\sqrt{\nu_m(J(G))} \leq p - 1$. Where $\delta(J(G))$ is the minimum degree of $J(G)$.

**Proof:** By theorem 2.6 $\sqrt{\nu_m(J(G))} \leq p - \omega(J(G)) + 1 \leq \delta(J(G))$.

Let $D$ be a $\sqrt{\nu_m}$-set of jump graph $J(G)$. Then every vertex in $D$ is adjacent to some vertex in $V(J(G)) - D$. Thus, $\nu_m(J(G)) - D$ is a connected dominating set of $J(G)$. Since $\nu_m(J(G)) - D$ is connected.

Hence $\nu_m(J(G)) + \nu_m(J(G)) \leq p$.

**Theorem 2.12.** For any $\nu_m$-tree $\nu_m(J(T)) \geq p - m$. Where $m$ is the number of vertices adjacent to vertices.

**Proof:** If $J(T)$ is $K_2$, the result is trivial. If $J(T)$ has at least three vertices and $D$ is a $\sqrt{\nu_m}$-set of $J(T)$, then each vertex of $V(J(G)) - D$ is a cut vertices of $J(T)$. Let $S$ be the set of all cut vertices which are adjacent to end vertices with $|S| = m$ and if $u \in V(J(G)) - D$. If $u \in S$ then $D = V(J(G)) - S$ and inequality holds.
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